



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,594	11/28/2005	Marc Blondel	0070663-000002	1460
21839	7590	02/26/2010		
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			HANLEY, SUSAN MARIE	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
02/26/2010	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com
offserv@bipc.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/531,594	Applicant(s) BLONDEL ET AL.
	Examiner SUSAN HANLEY	Art Unit 1651

All Participants:(1) SUSAN HANLEY.**Status of Application:** amended

(3) _____.

(2) Brian O'Shaughnessy.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2010**Time:** 10:00**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(a)

Claims discussed:

16 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Bach

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Ex. Hanley stated that the rejection of claims 16 and 17 are over the Bach reference alone and that the rejection does not include the Liu and Hundly references. Ex. Hanley noted that drawings in English were filed on 11/28/05 but they lack the indication that they are replacement sheets.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Susan Hanley/
Examiner, Art Unit 1651

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)